UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,938	07/06/2006	Simon Ekstrom	CU-4652 BWH	5173	
26530 LADAS & PAR	7590 05/12/200 RRY LLP	EXAMINER			
	224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE			SISSON, BRADLEY L	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/564,938	EKSTROM ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bradley L. Sisson	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>071</u>	
(1) <u>Bradley L. Sisson</u> .	(3)	
(2) Zareefa B. Flener, Reg. No. 52,896.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>8 May 2009</u>	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Potential rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph; potential	tial objections to drawings	
Claims discussed: 33		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Bradley L. Sisson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Sisson indicated that the amendments to claim 33 would potentially raise an issue under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, noting in particular the use of the phrase "such as" and the adjective "small." Mr. Sisson encouraged applicant to consider filing a supplemental response addressing these issues.

The aspect of amending the claims so to focus on the method and not the limitations of a device was discussed.

Mr. Sisson noted that the replacement figures are not properly labeled, directing attention to 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1).

Ms. Flener indicated that she will contact applicant and discuss the aspect of submitting a supplemental response by May 19th. .